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In Memoriam: Barbara Seitz de Martinez, PhD, MLS
September 6, 1948 - January 1, 2018
Sheila Lacroix

We were saddened to learn of the death of Barbara Seitz de Martinez, our SALIS colleague and current SALIS Chair, on January 1, the beginning of a new year. It was so hard to think it would be a new year without our beloved friend and colleague who was so committed to SALIS over the years, so committed that she continued on with her SALIS Chair responsibilities in failing health. At our Board teleconference last fall, she chaired the meeting so efficiently, but that was Barbara: always clear, capable, organized, and always gentle and respectful.

Barbara was also SALIS Chair in 1998-1999 and hosted the SALIS Conference in Bloomington on the Indiana University campus in 1999. I have fond memories of the conference. She also was a regular speaker at SALIS conferences, always presenting on rigorously researched topics. She had a great facility with GIS (Geographic Information Systems) and much of

Never Neutral: Resistance, Persistence, Insistence
SALIS/AMHL 2018: Berkeley, CA
May 1-4, 2018

Getting your plans in place for SALIS/AMHL 2018 in Berkeley? This is a conference you won’t want to miss, packed with informative presentations, educational posters, engaging SIG and committee meetings, and LOTS of celebration of SALIS’s 40th birthday!

We have an exciting line-up of both member and invited speakers! Some of the presentations you’ll be seeing this year include:

- Amanda Reiman, PhD, MSW, VP of Community Relations for Flow Kana, on marijuana policy and social justice;
- Stanton Glantz, PhD, Center for Tobacco Control, Research, and Education (UCSF) on the use, risks, and policy implications of e-cigarettes;
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her research was studying prevention in the Hispanic community as she was very sensitive to the needs of this community. She leaves behind a legacy of research.

Barbara and I go back a long ways. I can't remember exactly when I first met Barbara at a conference, but I have memories of seeing her often over the years. Reflecting on this is a reminder of how special SALIS colleagues, including Barbara, have become to me over the years: such wonderful friendships based on shared values and just simply, enjoying each other’s company. We both have children around the same ages and we would often talk about our children and how their lives were unfolding as they matured. I have a particularly lovely memory of my last walk with Barbara in Denver, back from the Denver Public Library tour, when we did our usual catch up regarding our personal lives. Over the years, I was fascinated with her stories of her times in Nicaragua where she met her husband, Guillermo. I admired their dedication in maintaining a long distance relationship for many years and was interested in learning first-hand about the challenges faced by academics in that country.

Librarian ahead of her time

Finally, I would be remiss not to mention that Barbara was innovative and definitely a librarian ahead of her time. She was an early adaptor of information technology, developing websites to promote prevention and information to the public in both English and Spanish when the web was new to many of us. When talk of librarians' role in 'big data' became popular, I couldn't help but think that Barbara was already ahead of the game, with her facility with GIS and data banks. She was a librarian who demonstrated our profession's true value through working in partnership with researchers and prevention specialists to add value at every step of the way. But, for Barbara, this was all about the ends not the means, as she was dedicated to improving lives through prevention.

In a nutshell, I remember Barbara as kind, supportive, brilliant, strong, principled, and a valued friend and colleague. I am sure those who knew Barbara feel the same as I do. We extend our sympathies to her family, including husband Guillermo and sons Solomon and Nate, and her colleagues at the Indiana Prevention Resource Centre. Her loss will leave a painful void in many lives.

An obituary and memories of Barbara from friends, family, and colleagues can be found here: [http://www.allencares.com/obituaries/Barbara-Seitz-De-Martinez/](http://www.allencares.com/obituaries/Barbara-Seitz-De-Martinez/)

Memories from SALIS Members

I have always known Barbara as a very dedicated and active member of SALIS. I learned so much through her presentations at SALIS conferences and am in awe of how professional, technical and relevant they always are. Barbara was a perpetual source of positivity, kindness and compassion. I am very lucky to have had the opportunity to work with her on the SALIS Board and will miss her very much.

Chad Dubeau

Chris and I had many conversations with Barbara over the years, Chris getting answers to questions about the USA and its geography, and for me it was cookery!

Christine Goodair

I remember running into Barbara in the elevator at the SALIS Conference in San Diego several years ago. My little niece and nephew were with me, and when I introduced her to them as a librarian, they were really excited. They has a gazillion questions for her (somehow, it’s just not as interesting if it’s your AUNT who is the librarian!), and she went out of her way to answer them all, even the ones that were incredibly silly. Barbara was an extremely kind and generous woman, and I learned a tremendous amount from her. Her passing leaves a huge hole not just in our field, but in SALIS and all its members as well.

Meg Brunner
Since the last Dig’s Update in SALIS News, Fall 2017, the Collection has grown by more than 400 books/documents. We have now reached over 2000 items (fig 1) with more than 91,000 views (fig 2) – an increase of 20,000. Our goal for 2017 was 1800 books/docs and we made it, albeit just a few weeks late. Our goal for 2018 is to add 500-plus titles to take us to 2,500 items by year’s end. We can do it, but we need more of you to pitch in and help us get there!

Most of the growth with documents/books in this past quarter can be attributed to the funding we received from the Society for the Study of Addiction (SSA) which covered 250 titles, as well as the hard work of the Digs team. In addition, the crowdfunding campaign beginning on Giving Tuesday Nov 28, raised $6,661 in just two months. Thus, with these added monies, we were able to nearly complete the digitization of all the books selected from the NIAAA library and digitize most of the books donated by the Drug Policy Alliance(NY), the Prevention Research Center (CA), and Hazelden (MN). And we still have some monies left to continue digitization for this year.

With regards to the increase in views, it seems directly associated with the crowdfunding campaign and some of the media resulting from the SSA funding. In December, we had more than 6,000 views, the highest ever in any one month since the three years beginning January 2015. Also to note, just in beta, the Archive shows from what regions of the world (in the past 30 days) views have come from (fig 3).

Adding to the excitement of this project is the number of borrows being made of so many of the titles. In a random search of 10 books/docs, all of them had been borrowed at least 10 times and one as many as 345!

Borrows of some sample titles since:

May 2013: Panic and indifference: the politics of Canada's drug laws 72
July 2013: Biography of Mrs. Marty Mann: the first lady of Alcoholics Anonymous 59
Jan 2015: Slaying the Dragon 167; Assessing alcohol problems: a guide… 345; Equivocal spirits: alcoholism and drinking in twentieth-century literature 97; Science of prevention: methodological advances …39;
Mar 2015: Drugs, alcohol, and tobacco: making the science and policy connections 34; Truth about addiction and recovery: the life process program for outgrowing destructive habits 45
April 2015: Psychoactive drugs and harm reduction: from faith to science 37
Feb 2016: Harm reduction: pragmatic strategies … 10

The above borrows were recorded on Feb 16, 2018. Meanwhile we have created a list of books/docs we would like to have donated. It is not complete by any means, but we will be sending it out so that we might get started on filling in on some of the titles we would like to add to The Collection. Two that I will mention here are Recent Developments in Alcoholism Vol 2, and Research Advances in Alcohol and Drugs Vol’s 4, 6, &10 We are hoping that you will take the time to peruse the list and check to see if you possibly have a duplicate or copy that you would be willing to donate. The list will be sent to SALIS-l and to anyone who requests it. Contact SALIS Home salis@salis.org with “Digs Books Needed” in subject line.

Since the last update, we have added four of the ten NIAAA Reports to Congress, and will be adding the others very soon, as we now have all of them from NIAAA. We are counting on you to be promoting The SALIS Collection and using it whenever you can. Since we plan to do another crowdfunding campaign this year, we want to make as many people aware of it as we can.

Finally, a big thank-you to all who donated $$ and/or time for the crowdfunding campaign. We made our $6,000 goal and given that it was our first try: a big success!!! Here’s to your part in getting us to 2,500 titles by end of 2018! □
New and Old Predators of Science
Judit Ward
Rutgers University Libraries

How many invitations have you received to publish in a dubious journal or speak at a conference at some exotic venue since we had the pleasure of the company of Jeffrey Beall at the 2016 SALIS Conference in Denver? They never stop coming. There is no spring break or holiday, just holiday specials, such as “Due to occasion of thanks giving day [sic!] we are charging only $100 for any type of articles. Hope you utilize this chance.”

I have been keeping an eye on these emails since October 2007, when one of our distinguished professors showed me a confusing email, the first one I saw. I earned my first accolade at the Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies for the decision I suggested, which was “Why don’t you just sit this one out?” The invitation was sent by Bentham Open, the one and same Jeffrey Beall highlighted to introduce the trend in The Charleston Advisor. What helped me at that time was the good old trick: go back to something that you know and trust your training. For me, it was the language.

Predatory publishing, flaky titles, and new wave journals
Jeffrey Beall, a librarian at the Auraria Library, University of Denver, coined the term “predatory publishing,” referring to a questionable business practice of charging fees to authors to publish their articles without standard editorial and publishing services provided by legitimate scholarly journals. It has been translated in a variety of ways to other languages, all pointing out the constant threat of predators, leeches, or parasites of science. Other terms have surfaced, such as “flaky” publishers and “new wave journals,” focusing on the same phenomenon and consequences.

A discussion on the topic in the Open Scholarly Initiative mailing list in November 2017 estimates that the so-called “New Wave Journals” are publishing 70% of the “gold OA” (author pays to publish) articles. It is almost certainly more than 50%. According to the definition by David Wojick, who coined the term, the defining characteristics of a new wave journal are (1) a low to very low article processing charge (APC; the fee an author pays to publish), (2) a very low rejection rate, plus (3) rapid publication, which means (4) a cursory peer review.

New initiatives in the past two years include the two blogs written by David H. Kaye, Distinguished Professor of Law at Penn State on Flaky Academic Journals and Flaky Academic Conferences, terms covering the same concept.

In the national media
Nothing better shows the nature of a topic than its extensive, and somewhat sensational coverage in the national media such as Nature, the New York Times, and the New Yorker. Journalists, scientists, bloggers, and others have recently brought a lot more attention to predatory publishing practices by reporting on sting operations, such as in Paging Dr. Fraud, You Know These Studies Are Good Since They’ve Been Reviewed...by a Dog, Dr. Dreier’s Method, Nonsense paper written by iOS autocomplete accepted for conference, and Fake Science Paper About ‘Star Trek’ and Warp 10 Was Accepted by Predatory Journals.

Other publications pointed out some of the consequences, such as There Are Now 8,000 Fake Science ‘Journals’ Worldwide, Researchers Say, Turning a Critical Eye on Reference Lists, To Catch A Predatory Publisher, or Stop this waste of people, animals and money.

In addition to all sensational media mentions, last year also brought actions, such as The Federal Trade Commission Halts the Deceptive Practices of Academic Journal Publishers A federal court has granted a preliminary injunction “requested by the Federal Trade Commission, temporarily halting the deceptive practices of academic journal publishers charged by the agency with making false claims about their journals and academic conferences, and hiding their publishing fees, which were up to several thousand dollars.”

Apart from the distinguished members of academia, the topic now seems to affect everyone. Although not to be mistaken with “fake news,” a new challenge for college students and general population alike, predatory publishing...
Beall’s list goes off line
Admittedly or not, all of the available research and data we have on predatory or new wave journals is based on a Beall's list. Which brings us to the biggest news of 2017. Everyone was wondering What happened to Jeffrey Beall’s list of (allegedly) predatory publishers?, as it was shut down unexpectedly in January 2017.

Jeffrey Beall started a blacklist of “potential, possible, or probable predatory scholarly open-access publishers” in 2011 and maintained his list until January 2017. Thousands of authors benefited tremendously from the heroic, single-person mission, destined to be short lived, according to many. Beall's criteria to evaluate journals and publishers are still notable and valid. His suggestions, i.e., checking out the editor and staff of the journal, its business management and publishing practices, as well as its integrity, are the meat and potatoes of any approach to assess a publication.

An archived version of Beall's list is still available. A snapshot from December 2016, it is a great resource to evaluate publishers as well as to check out a particular title. Another version is a copy of Beall's list of predatory publishers & journals, as retrieved from a cached copy on 15th January 2017. Its anonymous author will not change the list, other than adding notes to the list. However, an update section exists below the list (in order to preserve the original list's integrity), where new predatory publishers/journals will be added.

The best resources to follow What happened to Jeffrey Beall’s list of (allegedly) predatory publishers? are blog posts with updates

Jeffrey Beall’s first-hand account of his work from 2012 to 2017 can be read in his article What I learned from predatory publishers published in Biochemia Medica.

According to the abstract,

The paper details how predatory publishers came to exist and shows how they were largely enabled and condoned by the open-access social movement, the scholarly publishing industry, and academic librarians. The author describes tactics predatory publishers used to attempt to be removed from his lists, details the damage predatory journals cause to science, and comments on the future of scholarly publishing.

Beall’s supervisor was quick to respond in a short communication Open access, power, and privilege.

Blacklists, whitelists, and checklists
There are several well-established and reputable resources useful in determining the legitimacy of a publication, both free and subscription-based. Traditional databases, such as Medline, Journal Citation Report and WorldCat can also be used to identify predatory journals.

In addition to Ulrich’s Periodicals, a whitelist of periodicals of all types academic and scholarly journals since 1932, Cabell launched its new resources in the middle of 2017. One is the Journal Whitelist, a curated, searchable database of over 11,000 journals covering 18 disciplines, and the other is their Journal Blacklist, advertised as “the industry's only data-driven listing of likely deceptive or fraudulent academic journals.” The criteria of Blacklist of Predatory Journals provide a helpful list.

Another whitelist is the Directory of Open Access Journals, a list of reputable publishers, aiming to maintain a list of reputable open access publishers. Launched in 2003 at Lund University, Sweden, with 300 open access journals, DOAJ today contains ca. 9000 open access journals covering all areas of science, technology, medicine, social science and humanities. Also useful is the List of journals falsely claiming to be indexed by DOAJ and DOAJ: Journals added and removed, a spreadsheet of titles removed from DOAJ for various reasons.

Free assessment tools include sites that aggregate information on journals, such as JournalGuide, JANE (Journal/Author Name Estimator), Journal Reviewer, and Journal Evaluation Tool.

One of the most popular tools is ThinkCheckSubmit, which offers a checklist for researchers to assess the credentials of a journal or publisher.

(Continued on page 5)
Predatory practices also affect conferences and book publishing. Some companies also use fake “impact factors,” a trademark of JCR, and other research metrics. Developed by American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Elsevier, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), the guidelines in *Recommended Practices to Ensure Conference Content Quality* aim to help maintain "an equitable balance of the interests of all participants in ensuring high quality, scholarly conference proceedings content." *Misleading Metrics* provides a list of questionable companies claiming to provide valid scholarly metrics at the researcher, article, or journal level.

One of the basic documents was created by the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) aiming "to provide guidance to help editors, researchers, funders, academic institutions and other stakeholders distinguish predatory journals from legitimate journals," entitled *Identifying Predatory or Pseudo-Journals*.

In our field, when it comes to assessing journals where to publish, the chapter *How to Choose a Journal: Scientific and Practical Considerations* can be recommended from the book entitled *Publishing Addiction Science: A Guide for the Perplexed* (Babor, et al.).

For those still not convinced on the value editors, reviewers, and publishers in general add to scholarly publication ad, there are the *102 Things Journal Publishers Do* updated by Kenneth Anderson in 2018.

12 QUESTIONS TO ASSESS A JOURNAL/PUBLISHER
(Taken from a handout by Judit Ward available on the Rutgers Predatory Publishing Libguide)

**EMAILS**
1. The email is flattering, boastful, but is written in poor language and has typos and grammatical errors.
2. There is a sense of urgency, e.g., a promise of rapid publication.
3. Overall, the offer sounds too good to be true.

**WEBSITES**
4. The website looks amateurish for the first sight, with typos and ads. No professional web design is noticeable.
5. Images are fuzzy, distorted, and can be found on other websites (use TinEye reverse image search).
6. Content is missing, pages are under construction, or links are dead.

**PUBLISHERS**
7. The physical address is not disclosed or is in a location inappropriate for a publisher (check Google Maps).
8. The publisher publishes multiple journals from unrelated areas: virtually every discipline is represented.
9. The publisher is the editor, or the editorial board doesn’t list experts with full credentials (university, department).

**MANUSCRIPTS**
10. There is vague or no explanation of how the manuscript is handled, such as submission, peer review, and preservation.
11. Publishing charges are not transparent, or not easy to locate, or too low.
12. Articles in previous issues are all from the same few authors, or are of low quality, or missing.

If you answer YES to more than 6 of these questions, the publisher is probably predatory!

Featured in the Fall 2017 issue of *SALIS News*, the Predatory Publishing LibGuide at Rutgers was inspired by our research and collection of examples. It aims to assist with Open Access publishing and to raise awareness among faculty, postdocs, and graduate students, as well as anyone else who is planning to share research results in a scholarly publication. Since it was launched in November 2017, over 650 users have used it to find a variety of evaluation tools.

In addition to information about Open Access publishing, resources, lists, and databases, the author also shares some of the in-house tools, such as the 12-step evaluation to help you determine if a journal or email solicitation is legitimate.

Aimed at the busy author, this brief survey (see below) uses only 12 questions, based on the well-established criteria for determining predatory open-access publishers by Jeffrey Beall and the experience of the Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies Library since 2008. See also a list of *selected scholarly articles*, links to news, blogs and more, including a wealth of *background information* on predatory publishing in the LibGuide.
Chair Elect
Barbara Weiner

Barbara Weiner believes in the importance of networking, happily sharing that partnerships with SALIS, Docline, Minitex, and the NLM are simply invaluable. She is also a keen advocate in helping facilitate information and resources in the alcohol, drug, and addictions field to be accessible, usable, and permanent. There is a new certificate by my desk, having just completed my 33rd year (!) as Librarian for the Hazelden Betty Ford Foundation, with headquarters in Minnesota. Free time is spent enjoying travel, books, bird watching, and family.

Member-At-Large
Rebecca Walsh

I obtained an MSc in Information Science from City University, London in 1994 and since graduating, I have worked in a variety of sectors before joining the Centre for Beverage Information (CBA) / International Alliance for Responsible Drinking (IARD) in 2012. My main duties at IARD are managing IARD’s books and grey literature collection, monitoring regulatory developments and policy changes for IARD’s regulatory database, compiling IARD’s monthly policy tracker bulletin, and conducting literature searches for IARD members and staff. I live around thirty miles north of London in Stevenage, Hertfordshire, with my husband, two children and two guinea pigs. In my spare time I enjoy reading, catching up with friends, swimming, going to the gym and I’m also a recent convert to yoga.

I have been a member of SALIS since 2013 and attended my first conference in San Diego in 2015. It was such an enjoyable experience, SALIS members were so warm and welcoming. I think SALIS is a fantastic organisation with so many knowledgeable members and I would relish the opportunity to take a more active role.

Treasurer
Karen Palmer

Karen Palmer is Records and Information Specialist at the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction (CCSA), a national non-profit organization based in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Karen obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree with an Honors in Linguistics at the University of Ottawa in 1987 and an MLIS at the University of Western Ontario in 1997. Karen is primarily responsible for managing subscriptions and serials, providing document delivery and reference services as well as providing expertise related to the records and information management function at CCSA. Karen is currently serving her third term as Treasurer for the Substance Abuse Librarians and Information Specialists (SALIS) association and is a member of the Canadian Health Libraries Association (CHLA), ARMA International and AIIM.

Note: Even though none of the positions are competitive this year, we still need you to VOTE! If you did not receive your ballot, please contact salis@salis.org.

Have You Read?

Users’ Guide to Dissemination and Implementation in Health for Researchers and Practitioners
University of Colorado, Center for Research in Implementation Science and Prevention (2013).

This book has a lot of great information about dissemination and implementation, but even if you aren’t interested in that specific subject, the formatting and presentation of this e-book is worth checking out!

Instead of a traditional flat PDF or PUB format, this e-book has been designed to be interactive. Scroll through pages and find links to click on, watch embedded videos, print out resources, hover over boxes for more information, and more. This is very innovative and fascinating e-book design (even though it’s from 2013!).

--Meg Brunner, Editor, SALIS News 
What We Heard: Refreshing the National Framework for Action to Reduce the Harms Associated with Alcohol and Other Drugs and Substances in Canada

The National Framework for Action to Reduce the Harms Associated with Alcohol and Other Drugs and Substances in Canada (the National Framework) was released in fall 2005 in response to the call by Canadians, their governments, non-governmental organizations, and other key stakeholders for a coordinated approach to meeting the challenges posed by the harms associated with alcohol and other drugs and substances.

The National Framework represented a national consensus as to the collective vision and roadmap for change in Canada to build a healthy society and address the stigma associated with problematic substance use and addiction. Since its production, the National Framework has guided the work of the Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction (CCSA, formerly known as the Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse) and has influenced the development of municipal, provincial and territorial drug strategies throughout Canada. It also formed the backbone of national strategies to address alcohol, treatment and prescription drug issues.

In mid-2016, CCSA initiated a process to refresh the National Framework to review the priorities and ensure that they still reflected current trends in the environment, emerging issues and evidence, and CCSA’s priorities and approaches to reducing the harms associated with alcohol and other drugs and substances.

The refresh process involved renewed consultation and engagement with stakeholders. Here is what we heard.

Finding Quality Addiction Care in Canada

This guide provides information about the different treatment options that are available in Canada and important questions you should ask when talking to an addiction or healthcare provider or considering a treatment program.

Addiction Treatment in Canada: The National Treatment Indicators Report (2014-2015 Data)

The current National Treatment Indicators (NTI) report is the sixth in the series. It presents aggregate-level descriptive information on individuals who accessed publicly funded services for substance use treatment in Canada during 2014–2015.

(Continued on page 9)
and a summary of the discussion from the journal club is made available on the SSA website. Over time, this will develop into a library of examples of good practice that those interested in conducting qualitative addictions research can access. The Club is now being hosted by a group of critical qualitative drugs researchers from Sydney, Australia. https://www.addiction-ssa.org/knowledge-hub/topic/qualitative-methods-journal-club

Statistics

Public Health England
Local Alcohol Profiles for England: 2018:
Alcohol use has health and social consequences borne by individuals, their families, and the wider community. The aim of these profiles is to provide information for local government, health organisations, commissioners and other agencies to monitor the impact of alcohol on local communities, and to monitor the services and initiatives that have been put in place to prevent and reduce the harmful impact of alcohol. http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/local-alcohol-profiles


PHE Collection: Alcohol and drug misuse and treatment statistics -statistics to support improvements in decision making when planning alcohol and drug misuse treatment services.

Contents:
- Prevalence data and analysis
- Alcohol and drug treatment statistics: adults
- Alcohol and drug treatment statistics: young people
- Alcohol and drug treatment statistics: prisons and secure settings
- Research and analysis


NHS Digital Statistics on NHS Stop Smoking Services in England April 2017 to September 2017. Report includes information on the number of people setting a quit date and the number who successfully quit at the 4 week follow-up. It also presents in depth analyses of the key measures of the service including pregnant women, breakdowns by ethnic group and type of pharmacotherapy received. The results are provided at national, regional and local authority levels. https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30203


Europe

EMCDDA has embarked on work under the EMCDDA Programming Document 2018–20, adopted by the agency’s Management Board on 14 December 2017. This document, which includes the annual work programme for 2018, falls at the crossroads between two strategies — the EMCDDA Strategy 2016–18 and the new EMCDDA Strategy 2025. Built on the structure of the former, it reflects the vision, values, guiding principles, and long-term direction of travel of the latter. At the heart of the new document is the EMCDDA’s vision ‘to contribute to a healthier and more secure Europe’, via efforts to improve the health and security of people living in the EU. http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/news/2018/programming-document-2018-20_en


UK Office for National Statistics visual shows that tobacco and alcohol in the UK had some of the highest price levels compared with other EU countries https://visual.ons.gov.uk/uk-tobacco-and-alcohol-prices-among-highest-in-eu

Greek parliament is expected to allow medical cannabis use says deputy minister http://greece.greekreporter.com/2018/01/15/greece-to-approve-use-of-medical-cannabis/

Euobserver: Medical cannabis makes small steps in EU. Denmark now allows use of medical cannabis https://euobserver.com/health/140410

E-learning

The National Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training (NCSCT) has a new online training module: e-cigarettes: a guide for healthcare professionals http://elearning.ncsct.co.uk/england The NCSCT also provide some courses for those not in the UK http://elearning.ncsct.co.uk/free

NEPTUNE the UK’s Novel Psychoactive Treatment Network in partnership with the Royal College of Psychiatrists have developed a free e-learning course of 7 modules group covering club drugs, acute and chronic

(Continued on page 10)
harms, and management of substances.,
http://neptune-clinical-guidance.co.uk/e-learning/

Reports/ research/ factsheets


Open access article: Smoking just one cigarette a day carries a much higher risk of developing coronary heart disease and stroke than BMJ 2018; 360 doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j5855. http://www.bmj.com/content/360/bmj.j5855 ...

London School of Economics Blog reports on research how academics and service providers are working together to inform drug policy in Ireland http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2018/01/31/how-academics-and-service-providers-are-working-together-to-inform-drug-policy-in-ireland/

Alcohol Health Alliance features a range of resources about alcohol including Measuring Up: the state of the nation (2017) current levels of alcohol harm and prevention policies and looks back on the past decade of trends, policy change and evidence developments. http://ahauk.org/category/resources/


Drugs Library. HRB Ireland have updated their Factsheet: Opiates - the Irish situation http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/17313/


New From OFDT
Isabelle Michot


Since 1999, the OFDT TREND scheme (Emerging Trends and New Drugs) has been monitoring current trends and those concerning emerging phenomena in the field of drugs. Based on the network of eight local TREND coordination schemes, it aims to identify, but also to understand the changes at work affecting user profiles, patterns and contexts of use and sale, together with the characteristics of substances and harms associated with their use. This overview presents the key findings of the seventeenth observation period, which focuses on 2016 and early 2017.

ICYMI* 
(In Case You Missed It)
Resources Shared via SALIS-L


(Continued on page 14)
(New Books from p. 13)


http://www.wpro.who.int/china/publications/2017-tobacco-report-china/en/


(SALIS/AMHL, from p. 1)

- Craig Reinarman, PhD, UC Santa Cruz, Department of Sociology, on criminal justice reform in a time of Trump,
- Christine Goodair, St. George’s University of London, on how to spot “fake news,”
- Jeremy Wilson, Southern CA Library on cooperative on mental wellness in your library,
- Fiona Inglis, CAMH, on demonopolizing mental health and addictions knowledge for clinicians in underserved communities,
- Meg Brunner, University of Washington, on critical librarianship,
- Len Levin, Harvard Medical School, on librarians as members of mental disorders systematic review project teams,
- All this and more, more, more!

If you haven’t checked out the conference website lately, be sure to pop on over. We have updated information about airport, transportation, and sightseeing options and a brief agenda for planning.

Coming in the next week: a updated agenda and information about our field trip Thursday to the Internet Archive!

Can’t wait to see you there! ☑